

From: **Jim Dullanty** <jim@dullanty.net>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
CC: **Kathie Dullanty** <Kathie@dullanty.net>
Subject: As a 17 year permanent Summit County resident and owner of 12 Park City properties I strongly urge you to say NO to the proposed Dakota Pacific development.
Date: 10.11.2021 00:05:49 (+01:00)

Thank you

Jim Dullanty
2718 Gallivan Loop, Park City

From: **Bill Fauntleroy** <bfaunt@hotmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota Development project concerns
Date: 07.11.2021 17:45:00 (+01:00)

Hi Summit County Council, I am a resident of Park City and actively go to the Kimball Junction area and use route 224.

The proposed Dakota development should not be approved. It introduces far too much development in critical areas and will permanently impact the quality of life for residents and tourists. I am supportive of building affordable housing there and maybe 100 homes, but not 1000+++ units, it is far too much for this beautiful town to absorb.

Other fine communities (Boulder, Colorado for example, by establishing the "Green Belt") have pushed back on excessive developments. No one wants to see massive traffic bottlenecks beyond what we already endure, and no one wants to see fast food chains flooding the area. Aspen, Colorado thrives and is a beautiful town because there is no excessive sprawl.

I strongly support council members who push back on the Dakota development and strongly support community efforts to buy as much remaining open lands as possible so that Park City remains a very special place. If you come up with a plan to buy more land I am sure that the many residents in and around Park City will help raise the necessary funds to accomplish your vision. Just let us know.

Thank you,

Bill Fauntleroy
2532 Silver Cloud Drive
Park City, UT 84060
M/T: 847-274-9583
bfaunt@hotmail.com

From: **Franci Eisenberg** <franci@professionalrecruiterinc.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota Pacific decision
Date: 10.11.2021 18:02:23 (+01:00)

All:

Beware of unintended consequences of a bad decision to add more people and cars to Kimball Junction. It may look OK today but will not look that way in 10 years. We already suffer from decisions made 10 and 20 years ago by well intentioned officials who have long since moved on (or died) while existing PC residents have long since forgotten why and who made bad decisions decades ago leaving current PC residents and visitors stuck with dire consequences.

Please don't sentence our (and your!) children and grandchildren to the unintended consequences of what seems like a good idea today and creates a nightmare for tomorrow's generation. I'm a PC resident since 1976 and have seen this happen over and over and over again: when all of a sudden you see something emerge and think – "where did this come from?" – only to learn it was authorized decades ago.

Thank you.

Franci Eisenberg
2012 Venus Ct
Park City, UT 84060
801/560-8864
franci@professionalrecruiterinc.com

From: **TODD GIAUQUE** <jukecom@aol.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota Pacific development in Kimball Junction
Date: 08.11.2021 15:46:54 (+01:00)

Hello,

We are writing to let you know of our strong opposition of the proposed Dakota Pacific development in Kimball Junction. For some background, you should know we are business owners in Kimball Junction, and we would stand to greatly benefit financially from such a development, but we put livability and sustainability above profits when it comes to the continued expansion of development in both Summit county in general, and Park City and all surrounding areas specifically. Traffic, pollution (including light pollution), and the loss of open space are rapidly changing the character of Park City, driving some residents away and perhaps soon, making our previously small town so overdeveloped that it will discourage others from coming here in the future. We must put the brakes on expansion and development NOW to avoid a disastrous future for our town. PLEASE VOTE NO ON THIS DEVELOPMENT, and please consider any future developments with caution and with compassion for our community above profits and increased tax base.

Thank you for your time,
Todd and Becky Giauque
Owners and Operators of
The UPS Stores of Park City

Sent from my iPad

From: **James Ingalls** <james_ingalls@me.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota Pacific Kimball Junction -NO
Date: 07.11.2021 14:36:56 (+01:00)

Council members:

I am a resident of Foxpoint at Kimball Junction.

Please register record me as a NO for the proposed development at Kimball Junction.

Approving this project will greatly exacerbate the already bad traffic situation. The best prevailing argument for approval seems to be that it is hoped if we break it, UDOT will have to fix it.

Approval guarantees it will degrade an already bad situation, and does not at all guarantee that UDOT will save us.

Please decline this project.

Respectfully,

Captain James M. Ingalls Jr USN (ret)

From: **Garry Vargo** <gjvargo2@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota Pacific no
Date: 10.11.2021 14:41:05 (+01:00)

Do not vote yes on this project.
Traffic and congestion in Kimball Junction is already beyond control.
This parcel had the use changed at least twice.
Please stand up for your constituents not for developers and dollars.
Voters will remember your actions.

From: **Irene Terry** <irene.terry@utah.edu>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota Pacific Project
Date: 07.11.2021 18:20:51 (+01:00)

Dear Summit County Council Members:

Dakota Pacific is proposing a residential/commercial project which is growth inducing, not contributing to our economic well-being, nor does it address our need for housing our critical community workers. So I strongly oppose approving the project in its current form. There are many issues that need to be addressed in the community before any similar plan is approved.

The development as designed will exacerbate the already intolerable traffic on Highway 224, especially during ski season and the Sundance Film Festival. (Even during non- event and shoulder seasons, the traffic can be intolerable.) The proposed density is not in keeping with the character of either Park City or the Snyderville Basin. The voters in the county just overwhelmingly approved the open space bond. This clearly illustrates the majority of Summit County residents value open space and want to preserve the amenities and lifestyle that attracted them to this area.

The Dakota Pacific project as conceived goes against the expressed wishes of Summit County residents. If this development is built, it has already been determined that the Utah Department of Transportation will have to construct a "flyover" at the 80/224 interchange. The plan for this construction has not been developed and is certainly not funded.

In any event, facilitating more cars getting onto 224 from the 80 does not address the traffic flow as drivers head south towards the resorts and Old Town. It does not address diversity in business and it does not address our lower income housing issue. I hope that the Council does not approve the Dakota Pacific project as planned. We must have traffic mitigation completed before any project of this magnitude is approved.

Respectfully,

Irene Terry

360 Matterhorn Dr.

Park City Utah 84098

From: **Joe Venturato** <eeecondos@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota Pacific Project
Date: 08.11.2021 15:22:37 (+01:00)

Dear Summit County Council members,

There has been much written and said about DP's project. I am a current home owner in Bear Hollow and have watched Park City's evolution from 1988 to a world class global ski destination, post the 2002 Olympics and Vail acquisition. The growth during this time period has been exponential while the infrastructure development has lagged significantly. I am not "negative growth" but I must ask he council to not allow the DP development to proceed until all the infrastructure is in place first.

*Thank you,
Joseph Venturato*

From: **Jayne Bois** <jyisknox@comcast.net>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota pacific project
Date: 07.11.2021 16:00:42 (+01:00)

Pleas do not allow this development. The consequences will outweigh the benefits

Jayne

Sent from my iPhone : Jayne

From: **Al Bialick** <abimages@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota Pacific Project
Date: 10.11.2021 14:24:55 (+01:00)

I've lived in kimball junction for 22 years. It's a wonderful place to live. When I moved here it was considered out of town. Over the past 10 years this area has gotten busier and busier. This is why I ask you to reconsider approving the Dakota Pacific project.

The infrastructure to handle 1100 new units, thousands more people and thousands more vehicles is just not present. By approving this project the overcrowding of the area will cause great damage to this communities peaceful although hectic way of life.

When I moved here it would take 7 minutes to get to Kearns from 224. Now on a normal non ski season weekday it takes 15-20 minutes. With the addition of the Dakota project it will increase that time. It's already faster for me to go to SLC from the junction then it is for me to Goto Main St.

Please do your due diligence before you give this project the approval it's requesting. The developer cares about one thing and that is profit. Don't sell out our quality of life for someone else's financial benefit.

Thanks for your time. A concerned resident.

Al Bialick

From: **Tom Seitz** <letsgoseitz@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
CC: **Tom Seitz** <letsgoseitz@gmail.com>
Subject: Dakota Pacific proposal for Kimball Junction
Date: 10.11.2021 16:04:03 (+01:00)

Good morning,

I am emailing the council to formally object to the above referenced proposal from Dakota Pacific. Living in Park City over the years, I have seen the significant increase in the number of people moving into the county.

I do not object to those that seek a mountain community with the significant outdoor amenities and quality of life that PC offers obviously find it highly desirable.

However the resulting rapid growth in Summit County, and adding this proposed development and its timing in our county could not be worse.

Today we experience the effects of a multi year drought that is synonymous with the west, creating a never before experienced environmental challenge

And with little ability for government to limit water consumption throughout the county, and the ongoing climate crisis continuing well into the future, this is not the time to approve such a significant development that will tax the resources even more.

The day we turn on our tap and the water doesn't run is the day our community and leaders will look back and ask what should we have done differently, by then it's too late.

Please vote to stop the development, the time is not right.

Thank you

Tom Seitz

2595 Aspen Springs Drive

Park City UT 84060

letsgoseitz@gmail.com

From: **Cunningham Charles** <cwcunning@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota Pacific
Date: 05.11.2021 18:32:25 (+01:00)

Dear

Summit County Council Members: I, along EVERY ONE of my neighbors, strongly object to the proposed development. For many years, it will greatly exacerbate the already intolerable traffic on Highway 224. The proffered justification – that the development will make traffic worse and thereby prompt UDOT to act more quickly — is preposterous. At a minimum, traffic will be an increasing nightmare for the next 7 to 10 years. The proposed density is directly at odds with the character of either Park City and the Snyderville Basin. The voters just approved the open space bond showing that the majority of Summit County residents value open space and want to preserve the lifestyle that attracted them here.

UDOT will

have to construct a "flyover" at the 80/224 interchange. The plan for this construction has not been developed and is certainly not funded. In any event, facilitating more cars getting onto 224. The delay in solving the horrendous traffic problem that will result from this development is staggering.

And, the deleterious

impact (a) on our schools (and the almost certain necessity of having to construct a new elementary school) and (b) on our water supplies, cannot be overstated. I strongly urge the Council to not approve the Dakota Pacific project as planned or at the very least delay approval until these issues are truly addressed.

I have never seen an issue that has received greater opposition.

Respectfully, Charles Cunningham
Snyderville
Basin

From: **Dave Eastman** <eastmandj@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota Pacific
Date: 07.11.2021 03:40:38 (+01:00)

I have lived in Park City for 24 years, the last 16 of those in Kimball Junction. My understanding of representative democracy is that the Council members should represent the best interest of their constituents.

Unfortunately, after reading Glenn Wright's bizarre editorial in the Park Record re. the Dakota Pacific project, it's clear that the Council is willing, in fact eager to sacrifice the quality of life of its constituents for the sake of ideology, pure and simple (I'm sure money is a driving factor as well, but Glenn chose not to mention that). You want to turn an area where people live precisely because of its moderate density and open spaces into precisely the kind of highly dense urban center that so many of us moved to Park City to flee, essentially daring UDOT to fix the festering mess you're creating. You're rushing headlong to destroy our city because of - let me understand this - Global Warming? Are you insane? Do you care at all about the people who live here?

Please come to your senses and don't destroy our city for your ideology and to make a developer whole.

David Eastman
Fox Pointe, Redstone

From: **Victor Janulaitis** <victor@e-janco.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota Pacific
Date: 08.11.2021 18:17:37 (+01:00)

There is way too much traffic around Kimball Junction. the idea of adding 1,100 hundred units will overload the already strained infrastructure. Plus the additional requirements for streets, grocery stores, power, water, broadband, and all other infrastructure will damage our quality of life.

You would be better served looking at how to make the tech park work. It was put there in the first place to ELIMINATE proposed housing. I even believe a bond issue was passed to make that happen. Now you want to revisit that. Sorry if that is the direction you are going in, the next time you run for election you will face significant headwinds in your attempt to remain in office. Just look at what happened in Park City this past election when the powers to be forgot who they served.

Have a great day

Victor Janulaitis
Park City – 22 year resident

☎ Mobile + 1 435 659-0500



Scanned by [McAfee](#) and confirmed virus-free.

From: **Curtis Anderson** <sageyogi@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota Pacific
Date: 07.11.2021 15:53:05 (+01:00)

Summit County Council,

As a resident of Summit County for 27 years, I am asking you to disapprove the Dakota Pacific project. I built my home in Jeremy Ranch in 1994 and have lived here ever since. I have witnessed a great deal of growth Summit County in those 27 years accentuated by the phenomenal growth in the past two years. The quality of life in Summit County is declining rapidly as growth continues unchecked. The proposed Dakota Pacific project should not even be considered much less approved.

I am asking you to disapprove the Dakota Pacific project and all other high volume residential projects in Summit County.

Thank you,
Curtis Anderson
2445 Lower Lando Lane
Park City, UT 84098
435-640-9221

From: **Terri** <terri_bowers@msn.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
CC: **Rush Bowers** <rushb1960@msn.com>
Subject: Dakota Pacific
Date: 07.11.2021 14:24:20 (+01:00)

We want you to know that that we oppose changing the zoning to accommodate Dakota Pacific project! This is such a bad idea for Kimball Junction and Park City! The zoning is meant to protect us from this kind of congestion and development. We are tired of developers coming in and making their cash, changing the character of our community and leaving the citizens to pick up the pieces and deal with the traffic and mess! Please do NOT change the zoning or allow this to go any further!

Thank you,
Terri and Rush Bowers
408 Wasatch Way
Park City, Utah 84098

Sent from my iPhone

Sent from my iPhone

Sent from my iPhone

Sent from my iPhone

From: **Terry Shapard** <terryshapard01@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: DAKOTA PACIFIC
Date: 09.11.2021 18:02:56 (+01:00)

Do NOT change the original plan for the Tech Center. Kimble Junction is impossible to navigate as it is.
Do NOT add to the chaos

Terry Shapard

From: **Alan levy** <ablski0220@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
CC: **Alan levy** <ablski0220@gmail.com>
Subject: Dakota project Kimball Junction
Date: 07.11.2021 21:32:56 (+01:00)

I am a new concerned Park City resident that has just learned about this project that is up for vote by the Summit City Council. Park City has grown over the last number of years and the traffic on route 224 is difficult in the best of times. Ski traffic today is exceptionally bad and with this new development will only make the congestion and traffic greater. With that in mind I have read through the documents and plans and have not seen any traffic studies or environmental impact studies on this proposed project. As important I have not seen any discussion on how this plan is good for current Park City residents. Prior to making any decisions I hope there will be additional information provided to the community along with in-depth traffic studies that can be provided to the public with additional public hearings as necessary in order to educate the current residents on how this project is good for our community and not just developers. I thank you for your time and acting as a voice for the Park City residents. As of now with the information I have on this project I am totally against this proposed development.

Alan Levy
9010 Saddleback Road
Park City, UT

Sent from my iPhone

From: **Mindy Fautleroy** <mfaunt@msn.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota Project Objection _
Date: 05.11.2021 17:15:00 (+01:00)

Dear

Summit County Council Members: I am a new full time member of our PC community here in Park Meadows. We bought here ten years ago and have seen significant traffic build up both on an off season.

I

wish to express my strong opposition to the approval of the above referenced project.

The

development as designed will exacerbate the already intolerable traffic on Highway 224, especially during ski season and the Sundance Film Festival. The proposed density is not in keeping with the character of either Park City or the Snyderville Basin. The

voters in the county just overwhelmingly approved the open space bond. This clearly illustrates the majority of Summit County residents value open space and want to preserve the amenities and lifestyle that attracted them to this area. The Dakota Pacific project

as conceived goes against the expressed wishes of Summit County residents. Furthermore, it is a "slap in the face" to those of us that entrusted the county to operate in the best interests of the community. If this development is built, it has already been

determined that the Utah Department of Transportation will have to construct a "flyover" at the 80/224 interchange. The plan for this construction has not been developed and is certainly not funded. in any event, facilitating more cars getting onto 224 from

the 80 does not address the traffic flow as drivers head south towards the resorts and Old Town. I strongly urge the Council to not approve the Dakota Pacific project as planned or at the very least delay approval until the density and traffic issues are discussed

more thoroughly. Respectfully,

Mindy Fautleroy
mfaunt@msn.com

From: **judy luttmer** <pc4026@hotmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota Project
Date: 07.11.2021 16:42:44 (+01:00)

No on this project!!!!

Judy Luttmer
21 year resident

Sent from my iPhone

From: **Julie Breslin** <breslinbd@aol.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota Project
Date: 10.11.2021 16:30:55 (+01:00)

NO! Simple as that.

Julie Breslin

2217 Three Kings Court

From: **Kenneth Himmler** <kenhimmler@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota project
Date: 08.11.2021 02:24:57 (+01:00)

As a concerned citizen I strongly object to the project and would consider the damage this will do to our community.

Ken Himmler
7244 Ridge Way
Park City.

From: **Howard Cox** <cox.h@outlook.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota Project
Date: 10.11.2021 15:07:13 (+01:00)

I am writing as a concerned citizen to object to approval of the Dakota project. I live in Summit Park and the additional traffic in Kimball Junction will greatly affect me. The infrastructure to support this expansion does not exist. Kimball Junction is already a traffic mess in the winter. I understand that downtown PC would not experience this traffic nightmare, but outlying communities will suffer and outlying communities also pay taxes.

Sincerely,
Howard Cox
570 Parkview Dr
Park City, UT 84098

317 363 0262
Cox.h@outlook.com

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

From: **Patti Gomes** <clubgomes2@aol.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota's Kimball Junction Development
Date: 09.11.2021 06:19:24 (+01:00)

I am a full time resident of Summit County living in the Silver Springs neighborhood. I believe that this development will severely impact my life here. It will bring in more cars, resulting in traffic, pollution, and water usage- all negative impacts.

The landscape will also change, impacting visual beauty, and the living animals, and plants that make that area their home.

There is NO UPSIDE to this development.

Unchecked growth is becoming a huge problem.

Please decline this project.

VOTE NO ON FUTHER LARGE SCALE DEVELOPMENTS.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Patricia Gomes

From: **Tanya Liess** <tanyafleischer@mac.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Dakota-Pacific - No
Date: 07.11.2021 02:25:10 (+01:00)

For years we heard that there was nothing that could be done, that 1970 approvals had to be honored. The tech center was an amendment that was made for a reason and it didn't work out but now to let this happen is unacceptable. Land was purchased with rules attached to it. It's time to stop changing the commitments in favor of landowners/developers at the expense of townspeople.

From: **J Bond** <jillbondutah@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: I oppose Dakota Pacific's proposal
Date: 10.11.2021 15:09:16 (+01:00)

Like many of you, I have lived in Park City for 30 years.
If there was ever a time to say "NO" this is it!
Too many units.
Period!

From: **Chris Stringer** <chris@stringerhome.net>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Just say NO to Dakota Pacific.
Date: 05.11.2021 16:05:42 (+01:00)

Council members,

Moving to Summit County, in the Park City area, was a dream realized. My wife and I have been trying to make this work for us, as a primary residence, for over twenty years. Now that we're residents, and even before we succeeded in making the move here, we were always puzzled at anyone who would want to encourage any, let alone unbridled, growth to this area.

Summit County, and Park City, are destinations for a reason. We have never had family want to go out of their way to vacation at our home .. until we moved here. Now, we keep a calendar of visitors. It's not that we're suddenly cooler people.. it's where we live. It's not a big city. It has tons of appeal in each season. It's ***not crowded***.

The Dakota Pacific project will change that. It will continue the trend of unchecked growth in an area that should be preserved. In some places, growth is fine. Let SLC grow all they want.. let them become more and more dense. That's not what Summit County or Park City residents want, though. There's a reason that each of us pay a premium to live here. We live here for the small town life. We live here for the outdoor life. We do NOT live here for traffic, or commute times, or crowding, or dense business parks, or empty retail.

If you actually support this rezoning, and by proxy the Dakota Pacific proposal, I feel you've completely lost your way, and your reason for being summit county residents. There is a big reason that we just passed a proposal for a \$50M open spaces buy up. I can guarantee you that the residents would support a buy up of all the space around Kimball Junction. (in a sense, returning it to the original grant of land as an open space.. how we got here is a whole other conversation)

Listen to the citizenry of Summit County and vote down any change in the initial plan. Propose to the citizenry a use of the bond for purchasing the land. Give Dakota Pacific an easy out and send a signal that future growth is not on the menu.

--
-Chris

From: **paul gardner** <iguanaphd@icloud.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Kimball Junction
Date: 10.11.2021 15:07:11 (+01:00)

If you represent us don't allow DAKOTA PACIFIC to develop 1;100 houses and garages. The sardine can has been full for a long time.

Serve your community. DP is not your constituency!

Paul Gardner

Bear Hollow

Sent from my iPad

From: **paul gardner** <iguanaphd@icloud.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Kimball Junction and Bullwinkle the Moose for Summit County Council
Date: 07.11.2021 15:02:09 (+01:00)

Do the 4 of you NOW understand the current chaos at Kimball Junction? IF YOU LIVED HERE and had to dodge overwhelming traffic daily you'd understand the groundswell of wrath toward your cavalier disregard of hundreds of your constituents. Glenn (hands in pockets with adolescent arrogant smug in the official Council photo) absurdly "explains" traffic won't change"with or without"... 1,100 houses and GARAGES....a 3,000 estimated increase traffic equation.....You Glenn who told us to "SHUT UP!!"...at the recent Council meeting where we the muzzled neighbors were limited to pop corning a suggestion or 2...

Frankly even Bullwinkle the Moose would question your Council related ethics. We don't want nor respect de facto lobbyists for Dakota Pacific Inc. We need Summit County Council representatives who care about we who live here.

Doug, Malena, Chris.....No need to walk Main St with regrets and shame nor pack your bags come the next election. It's not too late....Please do the right thing... not the Glenn Wright thing.

Paul Zimmermann

Bear Hollow

PS Thank you Councilman Roger Armstrong for actually thinking all this through.

Sent from my iPad

From: **lonczaks@comcast.net** <lonczaks@comcast.net>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Kimball Junction Dakota rezoning
Date: 07.11.2021 22:35:40 (+01:00)

Dear Council,

I want to express my strong opposition to the scale of the proposed Kimball Junction project. Traffic in the area is already a problem, and mitigation efforts wouldn't even put a dent into the increased congestion caused by this project. It's ridiculously massive. Don't let it get passed!

Thank you,
Dave Lonczak

From: **Bob4southern** <Bob4southern@comcast.net>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Kimball junction
Date: 07.11.2021 02:47:33 (+01:00)

Vote no on this abysmal project.
Robert Phillips

Sent from my Galaxy Tab® A which still makes spelling and grammatical mistakes...

From: **Teddy Cruz** <teddycruz2020@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: More Public Debate on Dakota Pacific Project
Date: 06.11.2021 21:41:44 (+01:00)

County Council,

First, we appreciate all of you serving and committing your time to public service.

However, we are deeply concerned and disappointed that any of you would approve of the Dakota Pacific Project at this time

1. We do not believe there has been sufficient public discussion and transparency on this matter.

Malena - the core of your campaign last year was the following "I'm listening to you" and "better transparency)" (we also voted for you)

Where have any of the council members been hearing overwhelming support for this project?

At best, there are many people unaware or not fully informed about the scope, impact, and long-term impact of the project.

We also just voted on a high profile bond measure and a mayor race in Park City, and holding a council vote on Nov 17 on this matter seems short-sighted if not irresponsible.

At a minimum, you should publicly commit to delaying any vote prior to November 17th until there has been a full public hearing.

2. Justification for the Project

We have been searching for a strong argument justifying the change in land usage (Tech to Mixed) and the merits of this specific project.

Yesterday, The Park Record published Glenn Wright's editorial supporting the project. First, we appreciate a council member making public their position on the project. and would encourage all of you to follow Glenn's lead.

While the piece was well written it appears the core of your argument is the following:

- 1. The Dakota Project is a better alternative than the 600,000 undeveloped privately owned acres zoned (sprawl)**
- 2. The Dakota Project brings the density and political clout to increase the "likelihood" of UDOT prioritizing Kimball Junction**

On the first point, We don't see any correlation to the Dakota Project and the 600K acres. You didn't state that the Dakota project means the 600K acres will be reclaimed as open space or public land. Sprawl therefore is NOT an alternative to the Density proposal of Dakota. In fact, Park City and Summit county would experience BOTH density and sprawl. You could also make an argument that the density at Kimball will just accelerate the Sprawl.

On the second point, it feels like it is a "build it and UDOT will come approach". All of you are extremely experienced in business, government and politics and you must realize this is an extremely weak proposition for the community to embark on a project that will forever change Summit and Park City. Once ground is broken (even on a limited portion) the project will have tremendous momentum to move to completion regardless of UDOT timing and efforts. Obviously, the best alternative is for the project to be completely on hold until an official commitment comes from UDOT. I'm sure the developer claims this isn't feasible, but I'm assuming they knew about this issue before they chose to purchase the rights.

In conclusion, we would welcome an opportunity to speak with any and all of you in more detail on your thinking. We are also extremely committed to driving public awareness on this important issue, and encouraging strong public debate and accountability prior, at and beyond the November 17th meeting.

Thank you,

Jeff and Brandie Revoy
6+ year residents of Park City

From: **Mark Jankowske** <mjankowske@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: No to Dakota Pacific development
Date: 10.11.2021 01:49:32 (+01:00)

Councilors,

The proposed development at Kimball Junction will bring hardship and intolerable traffic to current nearby residents, businesses and ski resort patrons. Whether or not Dakota Pacific can influence UDOT to accelerate Alt 3 project is speculative and puts our well-being at risk. Please consider developing this type of neighborhood elsewhere in our county.

Gratefully,

Mark Jankowske
Pinebrook resident

From: **Kristin Russell** <love2boutside@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: NO to Dakota Pacific development at kimball junction!
Date: 07.11.2021 03:57:29 (+01:00)

Absolutely not. I live in Silver Springs and the traffic always backs up past our neighborhood at certain times of the day without that development. We inch along to the junction trying to get to the medical facilities, grocery stores and work. And the traffic back up within redstone trying to exit out to 224 is unbelievable. You can't possibly think there is anyway this would not put the junction in complete grid lock and devalue all of our homes. There is no reason this should be permitted. Absolutely NO to this development.

Kristin Russell
Silver Springs
84098

From: **Katie Johnson** <dancing_4him@yahoo.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: NO TO DAKOTA PACIFIC PROJECT
Date: 07.11.2021 03:10:33 (+01:00)

The only acceptable vote for the Dakota Pacific project is no!

Water shortage issues,

traffic issues (and a fly over that may or may not be built 6-10 years after the fact is NOT a solution)
quality of life for current residents would be greatly diminished. Destruction of wildlife, do we need more
elk and deer splattered on the already over crowded roads?

This project is not acceptable in this community.

Please listen to the community and not the developers! We do not want this!

Katie Johnson

Sent from my iPhone

From: **Kara Lane** <karabus24@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: No to Dakota Pacific!
Date: 01.11.2021 12:39:23 (+01:00)

Dear Councilors Wright, Armstrong, Robinson, Stevens, and Clyde:

Respectfully, please listen to your constituents and pump the breaks on approving the Dakota Pacific development. Our county has one chance to develop this fantastic piece land (or not) in a wonderful and meaningful way that serves the community and not just the developer. Development certainly cannot occur prior to , approved and funded (not hoped for) traffic mitigation and installation of appropriate infrastructure! Availability of water should also be confirmed in any land use decision. Please, use your heads. You are expected to be a reasonable representatives of this community.

You are under No obligation at all to approve a rezone- otherwise, why even have zoning laws? Approval under the guise of affordable housing rings very hollow on this one, and the community knows it. If affordable housing is the true goal of council, start by reducing or eliminating nightly housing permits and then investors (of which I am also one) and second homeowners would return to long term rentals (of which I do) and more housing inventory would hit the market. Let the resorts cover the nightly market. This has been done in tourist areas like Santa Monica, CA. that incurred similar negative effects to livability in the community.

Councilors, it is your civic duty to make representative decisions for the quality of life in our community and not cede to pressure from special interest groups. We implore you not take this lightly and say No to Dakota Pacific.

Sincerely,
Kara and Tom Lane

Sent from my iPhone

Sent from my iPhone

From: **Brook Connery** <bconnery7@yahoo.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: no to tech park expansion
Date: 09.11.2021 14:59:12 (+01:00)

Hi - Please keep the tech park zoning as it currently is. It is flawed logic to think we will "develop" our way out of the traffic issues on 224, with the developer's influence (yikes). Changing zoning will only make the the current traffic situation worse, much worse. I also think the "green" argument put forth in the park record is poorly reasoned as there will be an army of contractors coming up from SLC to build out the proposed development. Honestly, I can't believe we are even considering this. Just makes no sense. Listen to your planning commission. Listen the majority of residents, not the vocal minority.

Brook Connery

From: **Bruce Kirchenheiter** <brucekirch50@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Objection to the Dakota Pacific Project
Date: 09.11.2021 22:27:45 (+01:00)

As a 32 year resident of Summit County I am vehemently opposed to the Dakota Pacific Project at Kimball Junction. Essentially it significantly lowers the quality of life for current residents for the benefit of the developer and to provide for people that don't live here. I have spoken to no one that is in favor it. I believe the 4 County Councilors that are in favor of approving the project are very much out of step with the electorate on this issue.

- The Snyderville Basin Planning Commission has rightly issued a negative recommendation.
- There are currently multiple petitions in opposition to approval of the project circulating with thousands of signatures
- Where will the water for 1100 homes/3000 new residents come from? Undoubtedly this will cause future worse shortages and rationing for current residents. It places burdens on current residents for the benefit of the developer and people who don't currently live here.
- There is no question it will make the traffic situation much worse, with the earliest chance for any improvements 7 years from now, but more realistically quite a bit longer. Once again it places burdens on current residents for the benefit of the developer and people that don't live here.
- The developer knew out the outset that to do what they wanted would require a change to the zoning.
- Development, particularly residential development never pays for itself. Taxes have gone up significantly, this will only make it worse.
- The recent open space bond passed by a very large margin, which shows that people living here value open space, and do not like congestion. This project will greatly increase congestion.
- The Chairman's postulation in the Nov 5th Park Record Guest Editorial that if we don't approve this we will have sprawl makes no sense. What developer would want to pay the massive costs for infrastructure that he mentions for low density development? With housing values as high as they currently are, if sprawl made economic sense for developers we would be awash in low density projects. We are not.
- As far as carbon footprint, how is the addition of all the development in this proposal any better? Instead this project will bring it sooner rather than later.

A long time ago the planning commission in looking at planning for future development asked the question: "Do we want to be another Sandy?" The answer was a resounding NO from the community. Approval of this project moves us much further towards being another Sandy.

Bruce Kirchenheiter
5052 E. Meadows Dr
Park City, UT 84098
Mobile: 435-640-3351

From: **Liam** <liamslcmail@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Opposition to Dakota pacific and the well-being of park city.
Date: 07.11.2021 15:16:46 (+01:00)

I wish to express my strong opposition to the approval of the above referenced project. The development as designed will exacerbate the already intolerable traffic on Highway 224, especially during ski season and the Sundance Film Festival.

The proposed density is not in keeping with the character of either Park City or the Snyderville Basin. The voters in the county just overwhelmingly approved the open space bond. This clearly illustrates the majority of Summit County residents value open space and want to preserve the amenities and lifestyle that attracted them to this area.

The Dakota Pacific project as conceived goes against the expressed wishes of Summit County residents. Furthermore, it is a "slap in the face" to those of us that entrusted the county to operate in the best interests of the community.

If this development is built, it has already been determined that the Utah Department of Transportation will have to construct a "flyover" at the 80/224 interchange. The plan for this construction has not been developed and is certainly not funded. In any event, facilitating more cars getting onto 224 from the 80 does not address the traffic flow as drivers head south towards the resorts and Old Town.

I strongly urge the Council to not approve the Dakota Pacific project as planned or at the very least delay approval until the density and traffic issues are discussed more thoroughly.

Respectfully,
Liam Sparling

From: **susan kutcher** <sekutcher@yahoo.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>; **Roger Armstrong** <rarmstrong@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Planning for the future is the Council's responsibility
Date: 10.11.2021 00:24:41 (+01:00)

Dear Members:

The point of a PLANNING Commission is to plan and large developments should have to supply an independent IMPACT study for noise, water and traffic.

1. No development should be approved without 15% lower income units and 15% moderate income units.
2. Open space of 30% of the property should be required.
3. Zero landscaping is needed for conservation of water usage.

The Lincoln Square development is a horrible use of land. We need more set backs on ALL sides of a development. The parking is inadequate. For example a three bedroom unit has 2 parking spots. Yet, in this area a three bedroom unit might house three adults with three cars. Friends will be forced to Park on Bitner Road. No road widening was required. A one bedroom is to rent for \$1,700 plus \$20 for uncovered parking, plus utilities. No one make \$15 per hour or \$20 per hour could afford to live here. Just because this is a rental unit doe not mean mean that employee housing should not be a requirement.

Dakota Development requires a change in zoning. The commisssion has received over 600 letters of objections. How many citizens have been in support? The present plan was for a tech center. Has anyone been hired to encourage job development in this location? If not, why not?

Thank you for your service,

Susan Kutcher

From: **lmcaferty@gmail.com** <lmcaferty@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: PLEASE REPRESENT THE CITIZENS THAT YOU WERE ELCTED TO REPRESENT -
VOTE NO ON THE DAKOTA PACIFIC REZONING
Date: 08.11.2021 21:47:38 (+01:00)

There is overwhelming sentiment against this project by all the citizens that will be most affected. It's time for the Summit County council members to actually represent the citizens they were elected to represent.

Larry McAferty

Jeremy Ranch

Park City, UT

From: **Bev Harrison** <bevhharrison@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: PLEASE STAY THE COURSE ON DPRE
Date: 09.11.2021 00:48:21 (+01:00)

Hello Council members,

First, thank you Glenn for your point-by-point editorial entitled "Here's why Tech Center development proposal is worthy of consideration." Reading it has made my support of the Dakota-Pacific project stronger. Council approval--with your caveats--at this location at this time, when climate change consequences are upon us is the right decision for you to make. I imagine, however, it will make many residents wildly angry!

Please continue to work with Dakota-Pacific representatives as you have been doing so diligently throughout the approval process. Importantly, please continue to insist they, and other developers, provide critical sustainability benefits. Repeatedly message to the public facts about this project and growth, sustainability and traffic. Clustered, mixed-use design is the kind of community development we should be approving now and moving forward. By approving this project, you are giving us real solutions to many of the County's longstanding problems . As it is for the world, the time for sustainable growth and development is NOW. It won't look like that of the old days and for many it will be difficult to get used to.

I am distressed by all the strong, noisy negative opinions being put out there. The popular social community site, Next Door Bear Hollow, is full of mean-spirited posts of opposition to this project. It is a place, unfortunately, that I don't feel comfortable making my support of the project public. I would like to try and change some minds, though.

I look forward to having new neighbors across route 224. We east side residents don't require UDOT improvements; there are excellent transit services and bike and pedestrian trails here. I believe the loudest complainers are those residents who don't live at Kimball Junction and who just don't care or want to use--or try to use our driving alternatives. Shame on them!

Bev Harrison

From: **Bill Zanker** <billzanker@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Please stop this disaster from happening
Date: 07.11.2021 03:42:48 (+01:00)

We live in Goshawk

There is no reason to rush this through
See how your constituents don't want it
Bill Zanker

From: **Michael Lemmon** <mikelemmon1@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Please vote no on Dakota
Date: 06.11.2021 22:24:29 (+01:00)

Dear Councilors:

I urge you to vote no on this project. Traffic at the junction is already terrible and this project will only exacerbate that situation. Please stick with the original vision of a technology park.

Best,
Mike

From: **Stephanie Donovan** <steph.mills.donovan@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Please vote NO on the Dakota Pacific project at the tech center location
Date: 04.11.2021 21:41:43 (+01:00)

Dear Council,

Overdevelopment is the number one quality of life issue facing Summit County. The Tech Center zoning, while not ideal, allows us to postpone further development in the area. This land will only gain value over time. Let's keep the current plan in place and kick the development can down the road. If and when a "dream project" that is too amazing to deny appears we can adjust at that time. As a 16 year resident of Ranch Place it is obvious that this project is only good for the developers and will compound the existing traffic problems at Kimball Junction. The numbers don't support the developers claims of workforce housing. We can do better! Please vote NO!

Thanks for your time and consideration.

Steph Donovan

From: **Robert B. Roemer** <bob.roemer@utah.edu>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: RE: Dakota Pacific Project
Date: 07.11.2021 19:16:48 (+01:00)

Dear Summit County Council Members:

Dakota Pacific is proposing a residential/commercial project which is growth inducing, not contributing to our economic well-being, nor does it address our need for housing our critical community workers. So, I strongly oppose approving the project in its current form. There are many issues that need to be addressed in the community before any similar plan is approved.

The development as designed will exacerbate the already intolerable traffic on Highway 224, especially during ski season and the Sundance Film Festival. (Even during non- event and shoulder seasons, the traffic can be intolerable.) The proposed density is not in keeping with the character of either Park City or the Snyderville Basin. The voters in the county just overwhelmingly approved the open space bond. This clearly illustrates the majority of Summit County residents value open space and want to preserve the amenities and lifestyle that attracted them to this area.

The Dakota Pacific project as conceived goes against the expressed wishes of Summit County residents. If this development is built, it has already been determined that the Utah Department of Transportation will have to construct a "flyover" at the 80/224 interchange. The plan for this construction has not been developed and is certainly not funded.

In any event, facilitating more cars getting onto 224 from the 80 does not address the traffic flow as drivers head south towards the resorts and Old Town. It does not address diversity in business, and it does not address our lower income housing issue. I hope that the Council does not approve the Dakota Pacific project as planned. We must have traffic mitigation completed before any project of this magnitude is approved.

Respectfully,

Robert Roemer, Summit Park

From: **Sherri Connery** <sherri@connerycpa.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Response to your opinion piece
Date: 09.11.2021 02:37:40 (+01:00)

Dear Council,

In response to Council member Wright's opinion piece in the Park Record, I am 100% in disagreement. You have a parcel NOT zoned for housing and you are looking to add 1,100 units to this parcel. Changing this zoning from a tech center to residential does not change any other grandfathered zoning in the county. You are not reducing what is already set to be built in our county but are merely adding to the problem with a very dense development in an already congested corridor of the county. All of those other entitled units are destined to still be built.

Please explain how "climate friendly" adding 1,100 homes that are not currently zoned to Summit County is? Have you considered the impact on the environment of the manufacture of the construction materials to build 1,100 homes, the impact of the hundreds of trips up and down the canyon from Salt Lake during construction to deliver materials and bring labor up to this project, the need to build more schools to accommodate the population growth and the resources it will take to construct and operate those schools, finding water for 3,000 more people, the inevitable fact that these 3,000 additional residents need to use cars to go grocery shopping, drive their children to sporting events, go to the bank, go to the post office, get to and from work. It is not practical for the majority of people to abandon their cars and take the bus – there are kids to be shuttled, groceries to be carried, doctors appointments, business meetings, errands to run and now less interest in being packed into mass transit during the Covid pandemic.

You talk about in your opinion piece that with no zoning changes, our county's population could easily double, yet you are looking to grant a zoning change that adds more density on a parcel that is NOT zoned for residential! How, how, how does granting this zoning change help control over development in Summit County? It makes zero sense.

Please do not approve this zoning change – vote NO!

Sherri Connery
25 year resident of the Snyderville Basin

From: **Patty** <pattyschloesser@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Say no
Date: 08.11.2021 17:21:38 (+01:00)

Mary Schloesser here

3339 Central Pacific trail. Park City
I oppose this development. Please vote No!

Sent from my iPhone

Sent from my iPhone

From: **Sherrri Connery** <sherriconnery@yahoo.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: say NO to Tech Center rezone
Date: 03.11.2021 14:43:07 (+01:00)

This guest editorial is spot on. Why, why why? This project makes no sense. We, the citizens of the Snyderville Basin, will be stuck with this project forever if you approve the change in zoning. You cannot solve traffic problems, water shortages, crowds, pollution by adding more homes. Snyderville Basin residents did not want a Tech Center, we wanted open space. Now that we have a Tech Center, we do not want anything beyond that. You have a chance to make a difference here by voting no and I hope you will listen to your constituents - vote NO - Snyderville Basin does not want this.

[Guest opinion: There's no going back if proposal for Tech Center is approved](#)



Guest opinion: There's no going back if proposal for Tech Center is appr...

Gary PeacockSnyderville Basin

"We all want to maintain the character of our small mountain town and the tipping point is either here already o...

From: **Lionel Montoya** <lionel.inbox@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Stop Dakota Pacific K-Junction
Date: 07.11.2021 04:40:24 (+01:00)

Council,

I am asking you not to support this Massive development for the viability of our community. We will one day soon wish our water supply was more ample. We will wish our air was cleaner. We will wish we had less traffic. This is up to you. Please keep our small town feel with the environment in mind. Please for our kids and yourself.

Lionel Montoya

From: **Cindy Welsh** <cindywelsh@gwellc.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Stop Dakota Pacific project
Date: 08.11.2021 01:57:46 (+01:00)

I am opposed to the Dakota Pacific project.

The Park City area does not have sufficient infrastructure to support such an endeavor. If the purported purpose being used to support the project is affordable housing, make it all affordable housing with restricted deeds. The developer should be responsible for contributing significantly to the necessary infrastructure - schools, roads, public transportation, water and sewer - needed to support such a project. The cost burden – both financial and inconveniences due to inadequate resources and poor planning - should not be placed upon the tax payer while the developer collects the spoils.

From: **Bill Wagner** <bnwagner@msn.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Stop Dakota Pacific
Date: 07.11.2021 15:29:19 (+01:00)

Kimball Junction is already so congested at times that it feels like a traffic jam 24/7. Do not allow this added onslaught of buildings & residents to this area

Sent from my iPhone

From: **Patti Gomes** <clubgomes2@aol.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Stop Dakota
Date: 09.11.2021 06:22:22 (+01:00)

I am a full time resident of Summit County living in the Silver Springs neighborhood. I believe that this development will severely impact my life here. It will bring in more cars, resulting in traffic, pollution, and water usage- all negative impacts.

The landscape will also change, impacting visual beauty, and the living animals, and plants that make that area their home.

There is NO UPSIDE to this development.

Unchecked growth is becoming a huge problem.

Please decline this project.

VOTE NO ON FUTHER LARGE SCALE DEVELOPMENTS.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Patricia Gomes

From: **Heidi Black** <heidiblack111@yahoo.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: STOP DAKOTA-PACIFIC!
Date: 07.11.2021 15:17:30 (+01:00)

This project will absolutely have major negative effects on Park City. This plan is out of control! Enough is enough!

This town does not need more traffic congestion. 1.7 million SF ! Seriously?! Park City also does not need 3000 new residences.

This project will have an enormous negative effect on Park City.

This madness has to stop! We all need to cherish our wonderful town and not turn it into a concrete congested mess!

Heidi and Peter Black

Sent from my iPhone

From: **greg robleski** <gregcarmel@hotmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: stop the Dakota project!
Date: 08.11.2021 15:12:18 (+01:00)

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

From: **rmctish@gmail.com** <rmctish@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Tech park development
Date: 06.11.2021 13:56:53 (+01:00)

Dear county council,

Please do not approve the Dakota Pacific development. I beg you to listen to the residents of summit county. This is an extremely unpopular development and it baffles me that it is still being considered. I understand the council finds the influence that Dakota Pacific has over UDOT to be the greatest value in this decision but I believe a potential Utah olympics could also speed up this project as well. Do we have to sell our soul and identity to this developer? Please listen to those you serve. No one wants more development and traffic in Kimball Junction. Why are you allowing this happen? I beg you to stop it. Please do what is right and save our town and city.

Ryan McTish

From: **Brook Connery** <bconnery7@yahoo.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: tech park
Date: 03.11.2021 14:23:51 (+01:00)

Please listen to the people and the Planning Commission and do NOT allow this change in zoning to go forward. Traffic, already unbearable, will be utter gridlock. We cannot develop our way out of the traffic mess we are in. If you permit this change in zoning, the developer will be long gone, massive profits in hand, and the community will be left holding the traffic bag. LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE.

[Guest opinion: There's no going back if proposal for Tech Center is approved](#)



Guest opinion: There's no going back if proposal for Tech Center is appr...

Gary PeacockSnyderville Basin

"We all want to maintain the character of our small mountain town and the tipping point is either here already o...

From: **Howard Phillips** <thehowardphillips@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Traffic Impacts HUGE(!) - Please VOTE NO to Dakota proposal
Date: 07.11.2021 16:09:54 (+01:00)

Traffic is the #1 issue with the Dakota proposal.

I would be open to considering the revisions IF the DEVELOPERS WERE REQUIRED TO PAY FOR (not passed through to current taxpayers!) ALL NECESSARY TRAFFIC MITIGATION to maintain current flow levels.

Thx - h

From: **PayPal** <paypal@mail.paypal.com>
To: **Annette Singleton** <asingleton@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Upcoming changes to our PayPal legal agreements
Date: 07.11.2021 15:33:30 (+01:00)

Robert Jasper – You can view the changes on our website

[View Online](#)



Hi Robert Jasper,

We're making some changes to our legal agreements that will apply to you.

There is no action needed from you today, but if you would like to learn more, you can find details about these changes, when they apply and what you can do if you want to decline the changes on our [Policy Updates page](#). You can also view these changes by visiting [PayPal.com](#), clicking 'Legal' at the bottom of the page and then selecting 'Policy Updates'.

If you have questions about any of these changes or your account, please don't hesitate to [get in touch](#) with us.

Thank you for being a PayPal customer.

Sincerely,

PayPal



Please do not reply to this email. We are unable to respond to inquiries sent to this address. For immediate answers to your questions, visit our Help Center by clicking "Help" located on any PayPal page or email.

PayPal, Inc. is Licensed as a Money Transmitter by the New York State Department of Financial Services. PayPal, Inc., NMLS #910457, License #FT3345, Massachusetts Foreign Transmittal License. PayPal, Inc., Transmit Money By Check, Draft or Money Order By The Department of Banking, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. PayPal, Inc. Rhode Island Licensed Money Transferor. PAYPAL, INC., NMLS #910457, LICENSE #34967, IS LICENSED BY THE GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF BANKING AND FINANCE. PayPal, Inc. is Licensed by the State of Connecticut Department of Banking to perform Money Transmission, NMLS #910457, License Number MT-910457.

Copyright © 2021 PayPal, Inc. All rights reserved. PayPal is located at 2211 N. First St., San Jose, CA 95131.

104532

From: **Eric Lipton** <ericlipton@hotmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Vote NO to Dakota Pacific project
Date: 08.11.2021 18:02:15 (+01:00)

Summit County Council,

This email is in clear opposition to the Dakota Pacific Tech Center project slated for Kimball Junction. Widespread opposition of this project exists from Snyderville residents like me who do not feel this improves OUR (not Dakota Pacific's) community. This project does not address our most important concerns, and only exacerbates many of our existing problems! If the council is acting in the best interests of the people of Summit county, then you must vote NO to this development.

Eric Lipton
4192 Sunrise Dr
Park City

From: **Brian Zilvitis** <bzilvitis@gmail.com>
To: **County Council** <CountyCouncil@summitcounty.org>
Subject: Wright is right - rezoning at the Tech Center should be opposed
Date: 08.11.2021 18:59:36 (+01:00)

I am writing in opposition of the zoning changes that have been requested by the developer in Kimball Center that would enable over 1,000 new residences to be built in the Kimball Junction tech center.

As Mr. Wright accurately points out in a Park Record guest editorial on November 6, "With over 600,00 undeveloped privately owned acres zoned at 1 unit per 1 to 80 acres, the county's population can easily double, without zoning changes."

All of that growth will happen over time. Changing the zoning at the Tech Center not only adds to that inevitable growth, it accelerates it, and makes the living conditions and traffic conditions in the Junction worse.

Please oppose the current plan to change the zoning at the Tech Center.

--
Brian P. Zilvitis